

Previous references.

¹²⁻⁰⁸ 42-08 25 98.
25-10 85+43
52546

File No. 39-11

190-12

(Genl. Acc.)

Later references.

57-12 88 46-68
103-20 10-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 26-65

PART B. FOR July 1912

(Question of)

SUBJECT.

Promotion of Messrs. A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar
and M. Lakshmana Sastri from the V to the
IV class of Asst Commrs, with retrospective effect.

26. From the D.G. of Police in Mysore. No. 3375/423 of 28.2.12.

Forward for favourable consideration copy of letter No. 106
of 12.8.11 from Mr. A. Krishnaswamy Iyengar requesting re-consideration
of the G.O. directing that he and Mr. Lakshmana Sastri shall be
entitled to promotion to the 4th class of Asst Commrs Sch. B
after they have rendered 3 years' approved service from the date
of their confirmation as Asst Commrs.

65. To the D.G. of Police No. G.433 of 24.7.12. Declines to reconsider the
G.O. referred to in the above & to make an exception to the rules of
promotion in the case of the said officers.

G. R. S. 58.

A. V. Krishnaswamy Iyengar 1.8.12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Faint text)

NOTES.

File No. 39/12

FILE HEADING.

For the promotion of Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore.

From

The D. G. of Police in Mysore.

No. 3375/423 Dated 28. 2. 12 Received 29. 2. 12

Diary No. 368

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 26

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

*For favour to be done in copy of letter no 106 of 28. 8. 11
 in which Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore has requested for
 the promotion of Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore to the post of
 Sub-protem Assistant Commissioner in the District of Mysore
 in the place of Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore who has retired
 from service. The D. G. of Police in Mysore has also requested
 for the promotion of Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore to the post of
 Sub-protem Assistant Commissioner in the District of Mysore
 in the place of Mr. D. G. of Police in Mysore who has retired
 from service.*

NOTES AND ORDERS.

*The grounds adduced for a review of the G. O.
 referred to above are (1) that many of his juniors were
 appointed Sub-protem Assistant Commissioners and
 then confirmed and given promotions, and (2) that
 though himself and Mr. Lakshman Sastri were*

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial
No.

appointed sub pro tem Assistant Commrs and began to draw sub pro tem pay almost at the same time with Messrs M. G. Krishna-swami Rao and G. Hanumantha Rao, and though the G. O. of the 27th June 1907 directing that promotion to the 4th class of Asst Commrs should be calculated on pay alone came into force after all the four of them were appointed Asst Commrs, the latter two alone were allowed to count their services as sub pro tem Asst Commrs for purposes of promotion.

2. These points were taken up and discussed in the Notes on Gen. Mis. File No. 25 of 1910, Ss 5 and 43, and the G. O. sought to be reviewed was passed.

3. An additional point now urged is that in the case of Mr. G. B. Heblikar, a period of service during which he was not even drawing a salary of Rs 250/- but only Rs 200/- appears to have been taken into account for his promotion to the IV Class. With reference to this, it was observed in the concluding portion of G.O. No. 6535-6 of 20.5.09 that according to the Civil Service Rules of 1907 he would be intitled to confirmation as Asst Commr 5th class on 1st June 1910, He was however

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 191

Serial
No.

promoted to the 4th class as a special
case under the cir^{es} referred to in G.O.

no. G. 6771-3 & 14th June 1910.

8m 42-09-89
The J. G. of Police, in forwarding
Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar's letter, says
that his case as well as that of ~~Mr. A.~~
his Personal Assistant Mr. M. Lakshman
Sastri deserve the re-consideration of Govt.

^{with refer}
Subd^d for orders.

8m 42-09-89
11.3.12 VS. 41 Sec)

13/3/12

5. There is nothing new to be
said on the question.

2nd C. (Dewan)

29/3/12

6. I think so. The

PP G.O. of 27th June 07 does
not seem to apply to Messrs
Krishnaswami Iyengar and
his immediate family. For before
his order was issued it had
been ordered to have S. of
G.O. of 2.4.07 that in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. File No. 39 of 1911

Serial
No.

3588

Cases of these two officers
who have been of service
long years in the service
and are now at the point
of retirement. The period
for which they have been
in the service of the
Government is 25 years
and 15 years respectively.

7. The following
cases are also under
consideration for
retirement. The first
case is of a person who
has been in the service
for 20 years.

42/1

603

3/4/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

8
The enclosed 2nd copy
brought up at Council
Meeting 11th 10/12/11

~~For the Secretary~~
GPR-20,000-201.12

G. M. File No. 39 of 1911-12

Serial
No.

9

Sub^d to 1st^rCSB.
20/4/12

10

Se ~

H
27/4/12

11

Notes meeting

CSB.
29/4/12

12

Submitted to Dewan with
Copy of Council resolution

23

18/6/12

1862
17 6.12

machin

N^o C. 291

24. 4. 12.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Application from Mr. A. Krishnaswami Sengar,
praying for a reconsideration of the G. O. of 22nd
April 1911 declining to promote him and Mr. Laksh.
mana Sastri to the 4th class of Asst Comrs with
effect from the date of their appointment as Subpro-
tein Asst Comrs.

CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

By Order,

B. Balasubramanyam

Offg. Secretary to Government.

24th April 1912.

First Councillor.

to

Second Councillor.

opinion recorded.

Facing sheet for
cases included in
the schedule ap-
pended to Notifi-
cation No. 86 of
the 8th Aug.
1902.

for 35-41

(7)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Subject—

*Reconsideration of the G.O. of 22^d April
1911*

			Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy.
Received in the Secretariat			<i>29. 2. 12</i>	
Submitted to Secretary			<i>13. 3. 12.</i>	
Submitted by Secretary to ~~Dewan~~ or Councillor in charge			<i>29. 3. 12</i>	
		<i>Dewan.</i>		
Returned to Secretary			<i>31. 3. 12</i>	
Circulated by Secretary for Council			<i>24. 4. 12.</i>	
Forwarded by <i>2^d</i> Councillor			<i>See</i>	
Forwarded by <i>1st</i> Councillor				
Received in Secretariat				
Considered in Council				
Submitted to the Dewan				
Submitted to His Highness				
Orders passed by His Highness				
Final Orders issued	...			
Circulated to Councillors				
Passed on by the Councillor				
Returned to Secretary by Councillor				

Extract from the Proceedings of the 277th Meeting

of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja,

held at Mysore on the 6th, 7th & 8th June 1912

~~held at~~ at 15. Subject on (the v of the Schedule).

Application from Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar
Superintendent of Police requesting a reconsidera-
tion of Government Order dated 22nd April 1911
directing that the period of service rendered by him
and Mr. Lakshmanasastri as sub-pro-tem Assistant
Commissioners 5th grade cannot be taken into con-
sideration in respect of their promotion to the
next higher grade and that they will be eligible for
promotion to the 4th grade only after they have ren-
dered approved service for 3 years from the date of
their confirmation in the 5th grade.

Opinion of the Council.


The Council does not strictly see reason for a
reconsideration on ~~its~~ merits of the order already
passed.

In consideration, however, of the services of
both these officers - and especially Mr. Lakshmana
Sastri - the Council is of opinion that they may be
promoted to the 4th grade from 1st July 1912 in-
stead of 5th January 1913 when they will be entitled
to the promotion in the ordinary course.

Sd/- T. Ananda Row.

Dewan of Mysore.

"B x d m o t"



Offg. Secretary to Government.

Submitted to His Highness.

15- These papers relate to the promotion of Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police, French Rocks Circle, and Mr. M. Lakshmana Sastry, Assistant to the Inspector General of Police, from the Assistant Commissioners, Fifth class, (Schedule B), to the Fourth class of Assistant Commissioners.

(2.) Messrs. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar and M. Lakshman Sastry were appointed to act as Assistant Commissioners, Fifth class, respectively on 2nd and 20th October 1906 and the tenure of their appointments were ordered to be treated as sub-pro-tem with effect from those dates - vide Government Order No. G 2563-6 dated 8th November 1909. These officers were confirmed as Assistant Commissioners, Fifth class, (Schedule B). with effect from 5th January 1910 in Government Order No. 3511/G.M. 42-09-36 of that date.

3. Messrs. Krishnaswami Iyengar and Lakshmana Sastry submitted applications that the period of their tenure as sub-pro-tem Assistant Commissioners might be counted towards the qualifying time for promotion

to the next higher class. In recommending the applications the Inspector General of Police was of opinion that the promotions of these two officers may take effect from the 2nd and 20th October 1910, respectively. The Government however declined the special recommendation in favour of these officers on consideration of the rules and the precedents on the subject - vide Government Order No. G 6119 dated 22nd April 1911.

(4) A subsequent application having again been received from Mr. A. Krishnaswami Iyengar on the same subject praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order of 22nd April 1911, the Inspector General of Police in his letter No. 3375-423 dated 28th February 1912 submitted again the cases of Messrs. Krishnaswami Iyengar and Lakshman Sastry for favourable treatment.

(5) The matter was once again discussed in Council and though there was strictly no reason for the reconsideration of the order on the merits of the case, the Council is of opinion that as a small concession in consideration of the services of both these officers - and especially of Mr. Lakshman Sastry, they may be promoted to the fourth class from 1st July 1912

instead of 5th January 1913 when they will be entitled
to the promotion in the ordinary course.

(6.) An extract of the Council Proceedings is
hereto appended. The recommendation of the Council
may be sanctioned.

J. M. Anderson
5/1/12

lie

C. T. 10

2609



20th 10
Enc 1 file
10/6/12



The Palace, Mysore,

9th July 12.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to return File No.405 dated 5-7-12 and to say that His Highness regrets that he is unable to see any reason for making any exception to the rules of promotion in the cases of Messrs Krishna-swamiengar and Lakshman Shastri.

Yours sincerely,

P. Gururaj Row Esq., C. I. E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore.

12

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Promotion of Messrs. A. Krishna-
swami Iyengar and M. Lakshmana Sastry
from the 5th to the 4th class of As-
sistant Commissioners with retrospec-
tive effect.

Order.

5.7.12
405

64

Returned with do no 18

29 7 12

for File No. 39 of 1911-12

Serial
No.

32

17. Put up draft as per H's order
+ Submitt.

21

11/7/12

18. Draft subd.

H. H. B. L. L. L.

Secretary

18/7/12

102
13-7-12

approved

I have put up a clean draft in file.

22

65
177To the J. C. of Police, No. G. 433 d/24.7.12
Declines to reconsider C.O. No. G. 6119/S.M. 25-10-43
d/22.4.11 & to make an exception to the rules of
promotion in the case of the officer d/26 in
Pl 26.

Rec B

TO G.M.
29/2/22

(see)

FROM

*The Inspector-General of
Police in Mysore.*

To

*The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.*

Dated 28th February 1912

Despatched

Received

General No. 3375
No. 423

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding a copy of the
letter from Mr. A. Krishna-
Sammengar, Asst. Supdt. of
Police French Rocks, regarding
his as well as Mr. M. Lakshmi-
narasimha's promotion to the
next higher grade.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,
No. 3375 *End. me* Dated Bangalore, 28 February 1912.
423

FROM

C. Srikanthaswara Aiyar Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

DIARY No. 3688

TO

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

1911-12/12
SECTION <i>pen: mis</i>
FILE No. <i>39 of 1911-12</i>
SERIAL No. <i>26</i>

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.G.6119/G.M.25-10-43 dated 22nd April 1911 directing that Messrs. A. Krishnasami Iengar and H. Lakshmanaswamy shall be entitled to promotion to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners Schedule B only after they have rendered three years' approved service from the date of their confirmation as Assistant Commissioners V class, I have the honor to forward herewith for favourable consideration of Government a copy of letter No. 106 dated 12th August 1911 from Mr. A. Krishnasami Iengar to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, making a further representation of his case and to state as follows:-

2. The cases of Messrs. M.G. Krishnasami Rao and G. Hanumantha Rao are as far as I could make out from their history of services would seem to be analogous to his considering that the sub-protem period of their service as Assistant Commissioners of the V class was taken into account in the calculation of 3 years' service entitling them for promotion to the IV class, notwithstanding that the order of Government dated

27th

27th June 1907 directing that the period for promotion should be calculated on pay only came into force before their promotion to the IV class and long after their sub-promotion appointment. In the case of Mr.G.B.Heblikar also, a period of service during which he was not even drawing a salary of Rs.250/- but only of Rs.200/- would appear to have been taken into account in promoting him to the IV class.

3. Under these circumstances I beg to submit that the cases of both Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar and my personal Assistant Mr. Lakshmansastry deserve re-consideration of Government which I solicit you may be so good as to obtain.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sri K. S. Srinivasan

Offg. Inspector-General of Police.

(Sd)

Letter No.106 dated 12th August 1911 from the Asst. Superintendent of Police, French Rocks Circle, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

"With reference to Government order No.E.G.6119-G.M. 25-10-43 dated 22nd April 1911 stating that Mr. M. Laksmanasastry and myself will be eligible for promotion to 4th class of Assistant Commissioners only after we render three years' approved service from date of confirmation, communicated to me through you by the Inspector-General of Police in his No.14 dated 1st July 1911, I beg to bring the following to your kind re-consideration and recommendation to Government.

I shall not repeat here the details of my communication contained in my No.738 dated 22nd June 1910 in which I had mentioned that many who were ~~more~~ juniors to me and lower in rank in the grade of Amildars and who had not passed all the qualifying tests, were appointed as sub-protem Assistant Commissioners and then confirmed and given promotion though I was one of the selected candidates along with them in 1906.

cc In their order No.G.6027-99 G.M.12-06-50 dated 2nd April 1907, para 5, Government said that Messrs. M.G. Krishnaswami Rao and G. Hanumantha Rao who had been appointed sub-protem Assistant Commissioners in 1906, might count their services as sub-protem Assistant Commissioners for promotion and accordingly they were given promotion later on, though the Notification No.G.8139-G.M.1206-68 dated 27th June 1907 had come into force before their promotion was ordered.

V Just as Messrs. M.G. Krishnaswami Rao and G. Hanumantha Rao had been appointed as sub-protem Assistant Commissioners from July 1906, Mr. Laksmanasastry and I were appointed sub-protem Assistant Commissioners and drew sub-protem pay from October of the same year. The notification above referred to directing that such promotion may be calculated on pay only, came into force long after we were appointed acting Assistant Commissioners.


Taking;

Taking the case of Mr. G.B. Heblikar, he was Probationary Assistant Commissioner all through drawing Rs.200/- only from 1st July 1907 and he was confirmed only from 1st December 1909 i.e., long after the above said revised rule came into force. But he was also given promotion though he did not draw even Rs.250/- for the preceding three years and did not put in three years' of approved service in the 5th class after confirmation.

I hear that in British Territory also they take the acting period for calculating the period for promotion.

It will thus be seen that Mr. Lakshmansastry and I were acting in Pukka vacancies and were drawing sub-protem pay also just as others appointed sub-protem before the notification of 27th June 1907 came into force did. As they got promotion calculating sub-protem period, I beg you will kindly move the Government to revise their order in my case also".

* C O P Y *


Offg. Inspector-General of Police.



File No. 35-
Serial No. 65

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

READ—

, the

19

Date of issue 24 - 7 - 12

Signed by W. B. Hanumanthappa

Letter No. 3375/423 dated the
28th Feb. 1912 from the Inspector General of
Police forwarding a representation from Mr. A. Krishna-
Swamy, Asst. Supt. of Police, on
the matter of the period of his service

ORDER THEREON. No.

as Asst. Comr. Sub-Station being taken
into account for the purpose of his
promotion to the 4th class of Asst. Comr.

and requesting a
reconsideration of G.O. No. 9.6119
of 22nd April 1911 declining sanction
to the recommendation

to allow his period of service over
for the purpose of their promotion to the 4th class of
an Asst. Comr.

made in the ~~letter~~ ^{on his} ~~of~~ behalf of
~~for~~ on behalf of Mr. R. D. L. Mapa
Sashi

C. S. Thero - 9.433 Q. 24.7.12
G.M. 39-11-65

Just - regret that they

are unable to see any reason
for recommending their promotion and
for making an exception to
the rules of promotion in the case
of the officers referred to above.

To

13.7.12 Offg. Secy to Govt.
13.7.12 H.M. 132

9.433. The Insp. Genl. of Police.

Copied by
H.M. 132
23.7.12

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore.

7

R e a d : -

Letter No.3375/423 dated the 28th February 1912 from the
Inspector General of Police forwarding a representation from Shri
Shri Mr.A.Krishnaswamiengar, Assistant Superintendent of Police,
requesting a reconsideration of Government Order No.G.6119/G.M.
25-10-43 dated 22nd April 1911 declining sanction to the period of
service of himself and Mr.Lakshmanabastri as Assistant Commissioners
Sub pro tem being taken into account for purposes of their pro-
motion to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners.

- - - - -

Order thereon No.

Dated Bangalore.

July 1912.

Government regret that they are unable to see any reason for
reconsidering their previous order and making an exception to the
rules of promotion in the case of officers referred to above.

Offg Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

B.K.M.

Fai Dagh